arXiv:1611.06116v1 [math.NT] 18 Nov 2016

SPINOR REPRESENTATIONS OF POSITIVE DEFINITE TERNARY QUADRATIC FORMS

JANGWON JU, KYOUNGMIN KIM AND BYEONG-KWEON OH

Abstract. For a positive definite integral ternary quadratic form f, let $r(k,f)$ be the number of representations of an integer k by f. The famous Minkowski-Siegel formula implies that if the class number of f is one, then $r(k,f)$ can be written as a constant multiple of a product of local densities which are easily computable. In this article, we consider the case when the spinor genus of f contains only one class. In this case the above also holds if k is not contained in a set of finite number of square classes which are easily computable (see, for example, [10] and [11]). By using this fact, we prove some extension of the results given in both [5] on the representations of generalized Bell ternary forms and [3] on the representations of ternary quadratic forms with some congruence conditions.

1. introduction

For a positive definite integral ternary quadratic form

$$f(x,y,z) = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + 2pyz + 2qzx + 2rxy \quad (a,b,c,p,q,r \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

and an integer k, we define $r(k,f)$ the number of representations of k by f, that is,

$$r(k,f) = |\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : f(x,y,z) = k\}|.$$

Note that it is always finite, for we are assuming that f is positive definite. It seems to be quite a difficult problem to compute $r(k,f)$ effectively for an arbitrary ternary quadratic form f. The famous Minkowski-Siegel formula tells us that the weighted sum of the number of representations by the genus of f is a constant multiple of the product of local densities. To be more precise, we define

$$w(\mathrm{gen}(f)) = \sum_{[f']\in \mathrm{gen}(f)} \frac{1}{o(f')} \quad \text{and} \quad r(k,\mathrm{gen}(f)) = \frac{1}{w(\mathrm{gen}(f))} \sum_{[f']\in \mathrm{gen}(f)} \frac{r(k,f')}{o(f')}.$$

Here $o(f')$ is the order of the isometry group of f' and $[f']$ is the set of isometric classes containing the quadratic form f'. The Minkowski-Siegel formula says that

$$r(k,\mathrm{gen}(f)) = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{k}{df}} \times \prod_p \alpha_p(k,f), \tag{1.1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11E12, 11E20.

Key words and phrases. Bell ternary forms, spinor representations.

This work of the first author was supported by BK21 PLUS SNU Mathematical Sciences Division.

This work of the third author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2014R1A1A2056296).

where α_p is the local density depending only on the structure of f over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and an integer k. In particular, if the class number of f is one, then $r(k,f) = r(k, \mathrm{gen}(f))$.

As a natural modification of the Minkowski-Siegel formula, it was proved in [8] and [14] that if we define

$$w(\mathrm{spn}(f)) = \sum_{[f']\in \mathrm{spn}(f)} \frac{1}{o(f')} \quad \text{and} \quad r(k, \mathrm{spn}(f)) = \frac{1}{w(\mathrm{spn}(f))} \sum_{[f']\in \mathrm{spn}(f)} \frac{r(k,f')}{o(f')},$$

then $r(k, \mathrm{spn}(f)) = r(k, \mathrm{gen}(f))$ for any integer k that is not a splitting integer. In [11], Schulze-Pillot gave a formula for $r(k, \mathrm{spn}(f)) - r(k, \mathrm{spn}(f'))$ for any f' in the genus of f and any splitting integer k. Hence if there is only one class in the spinor genus of f, then we may have some formula on $r(k,f)$ by using $r(k, \mathrm{gen}(f))$.

Recently, some interesting formulas on the number of representations of ternary quadratic forms were proved by using some identities of q-series. The aim of this article is to reprove and extend some of those results by using spinor representation theory.

In Section 2, we consider the representations of a generalized Bell ternary form defined by $f_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y,z) = x^2 + 2^\alpha y^2 + 2^\beta z^2$ $(\alpha \leqslant \beta)$, where α and β are non negative integers. In [1], Bell gave a formula on the representations of integers by the form $f_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y,z)$, where $(\alpha,\beta) \in \{1,2,4,8\}$. Recently, Hürlimann in [5] provided a formula, by using q-series identities, on the numbers of representations of $f_{\alpha,\beta}$, where $(\alpha,\beta) = (2,16), (8,16)$, and resolved Cooper and Lam's conjecture given in [4] in these two cases. In fact, the class numbers of those forms considered by Bell and these two forms are all one. Hence one may have the same results by using the Minkowski-Siegel formula as follows: for an integer a, we define $\mathrm{sgn}(a) = 1$ if a is odd, $\mathrm{sgn}(a) = 0$ otherwise, and $\widetilde{f_{\alpha,\beta}}(x,y,z) = x^2 + y^2 + 2^{\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha+\beta)} z^2$. Then one may easily show that $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ is isometric to $\widetilde{f_{\alpha,\beta}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ for any odd prime p. Hence the Minkowski-Siegel formula (1.1) implies that

$$r(k, \mathrm{gen}(f_{\alpha,\beta})) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{\alpha+\beta-\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha+\beta)}}} \cdot \frac{\alpha_2(k, f_{\alpha,\beta})}{\alpha_2(k, \widetilde{f_{\alpha,\beta}})} \cdot r(k, \widetilde{f_{\alpha,\beta}}). \tag{1.2}$$

Since

$$r(2k, f_{0,1}) = r(k, f_{0,0}) \text{ and } r(2k+1, f_{0,1}) = \frac{1}{3} r(4k+2, f_{0,0}),$$

$r(k, \mathrm{gen}(f_{\alpha,\beta}))$ (and $r(k, f_{\alpha,\beta})$ itself, if the class number of $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ is one) can be written by using the number of representations of a sum of three squares. Note that

$$h(f_{\alpha,\beta}) = 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \alpha,\beta \in \{1,2,4,8\} \ \text{ or } \ (\alpha,\beta) = (2,16),\ (8,16).$$

A similar argument can be applied when the spinor genus of $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ contains only one class. We show that this happens exactly when

$$(\alpha,\beta) = (1,16),\ (4,16),\ (8,64) \text{ and } (16,16).$$

Furthermore, we provide an exact formula for $r(k, f_{\alpha,\beta})$ in these cases by using the number of representations of a sum of three squares.

In Section 3, we consider the representations of integers by a quadratic form with some congruence conditions. Let n be a positive integer and let

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} f_{ij} x_i x_j \quad (f_{i,j} = f_{ji} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

be a positive definite quadratic form of rank n. Let $M_f = (f_{ij}) \in M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the corresponding symmetric matrix. For a matrix $B \in M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n), \mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$, we define, for an integer a,

$$R^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}(a, M_f) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \mathbf{x}^t M_f \mathbf{x} = a,\ B\mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{w} \pmod{\mathbf{s}}\},$$

and for a quadratic form g of rank n whose corresponding symmetric matrix is M_g and a vector $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we define, for an integer b,

$$R(b; \mathbf{z}, M_g) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \mathbf{x}^t M_g \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x}^t \mathbf{z} = b\}.$$

We show that there is a one to one correspondence between these two sets if we choose parameters in each set suitably. We also show that in some particular cases, for example, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$, representations of a quadratic form with some congruence conditions can be interpreted as representations of a subform without congruence condition. If the corresponding subform has class number one or the spinor genus of the subform contains only one class, then we may give a formula on the number of representations of quadratic forms with some congruence conditions. By using this method, we reprove Theorems (1.9), (1,10) and (1,11) in [3], and prove some extensions of them.

A (quadratic) $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_n$ of rank n is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module equipped with a bilinear form $B : L \times L \to \mathbb{Z}$. We define the quadratic form corresponding to L by $f_L(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} B(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) x_i x_j$. We also define the corresponding symmetric matrix $M_L = (B(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)) \in M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that these three terminologies are equivalent with each other. If M_L is diagonal, we briefly write

$$L = \langle a_{11}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{nn} \rangle.$$

In this article, we always assume that any quadratic form is *positive definite*.

Any unexplained notations and terminologies can be found in [7] or [9].

2. Representations of generalized Bell ternary quadratic forms

A ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L is said to be a generalized Bell ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice if L is isometric to $\langle 1, 2^\alpha, 2^\beta \rangle$ $(\alpha \leqslant \beta)$, for some non negative integers α, β. As noted in the introduction, it is well known that there are exactly 12 generalized Bell ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices having class number 1. For each of these 12 lattices, it is proved in [1] and [5] that the number of representations of an integer k can be written as a constant multiple of the number of representations of an integer, which is not necessarily same to k, by a sum of three squares. In this section, we prove similar results in the case when the spinor genus of a generalized Bell ternary lattice contains only one class.

Let L be a (positive definite integral) ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice and let p be a prime. We define a Λ_p-transformation as follows:

$$\Lambda_p(L) = \{\mathbf{x} \in L \mid Q(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{z}) \equiv Q(\mathbf{z}) \pmod{p} \text{ for all } \mathbf{z} \in L\}.$$

Let $\lambda_p(L)$ be the primitive lattice obtained from $\Lambda_p(L)$ by scaling $V = L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by a suitable rational number.

For $L' \in \mathrm{gen}(L)$ ($L' \in \mathrm{spn}(L)$) and any prime p, one may easily show that $\lambda_p(L') \in \mathrm{gen}(\lambda_p(L))$ ($\lambda_p(L') \in \mathrm{spn}(\lambda_p(L))$, respectively). If we define $\mathrm{gen}(L)/\sim$ the set of all classes in $\mathrm{gen}(L)$, it is well known that the map

$$\lambda_p : \mathrm{gen}(L)/\sim \longrightarrow \mathrm{gen}(\lambda_p(L))/\sim \tag{2.1}$$

given by $[L'] \mapsto [\lambda_p(L')]$ for any class $[L'] \in \mathrm{gen}(L)/\sim$ is well-defined and surjective (see [13]). Furthermore, the restriction map λ_p to $\mathrm{spn}(L)/\sim$ is also a surjective map onto $\mathrm{spn}(\lambda_p(L))/\sim$. Hence

$$h(L) \geqslant h(\lambda_p(L)) \quad \text{and} \quad h_s(L) \geqslant h_s(\lambda_p(L))$$

for any prime p, where $h(L)$ ($h_s(L)$) is the number of classes in the genus (spinor genus, respectively) of L.

Lemma 2.1. *For a generalized Bell ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L, $h_s(L) = 1$ and $h(L) \neq 1$ if and only if L is isometric to one of the following 4 lattices:*

$$L_1 = \langle 1, 1, 16\rangle, \quad L_2 = \langle 1, 4, 16\rangle, \quad L_3 = \langle 1, 8, 64\rangle, \quad L_4 = \langle 1, 16, 16\rangle.$$

Proof. Let $L \simeq \langle 1, 2^\alpha, 2^\beta\rangle$ ($\alpha \leqslant \beta$) be a generalized Bell ternary lattice. Assume that $\alpha + 4 \leqslant \beta$ if α is odd, $\alpha + 5 \leqslant \beta$ otherwise. Then L can be transformed to one of two lattices:

$$\langle 1, 1, 32\rangle, \quad \langle 1, 2, 32\rangle$$

by taking finite number of λ_2-transformations. Since

$$h_s(\langle 1, 1, 32\rangle) = h_s(\langle 1, 2, 32\rangle) = 2,$$

we have $h_s(L) \geqslant 2$ in this case. Hence we may assume that $\beta \leqslant \alpha + 3$ if α is odd, $\beta \leqslant \alpha + 4$ otherwise. Assume further that $\alpha \geqslant 5$. Then L can be transformed to one of the following lattices:

$$\langle 1, 2^5, 2^\gamma\rangle, \quad \langle 1, 2^6, 2^\delta\rangle,$$

where $5 \leqslant \gamma \leqslant 8$ and $6 \leqslant \delta \leqslant 10$, by taking finite number of λ_2-transformations to L. One can easily compute that

$$h_s(\langle 1, 2^5, 2^\gamma\rangle) \geqslant 2, \quad h_s(\langle 1, 2^6, 2^\delta\rangle) \geqslant 2,$$

for any $5 \leqslant \gamma \leqslant 8$ and $6 \leqslant \delta \leqslant 10$. Therefore we have $h_s(L) \geqslant 2$ in this case. For the remaining 23 candidates, one can easily show that $h(L) = 1$ or $h_s(L) \geqslant 2$ except the following 4 lattices

$$\langle 1, 1, 16\rangle, \quad \langle 1, 4, 16\rangle, \quad \langle 1, 8, 64\rangle, \quad \langle 1, 16, 16\rangle.$$

One may easily show that all of these 4 lattices have class number 2 and their genera contain 2 spinor genera. This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.2. For each lattice L_i defined above, the other spinor genus in the genus of L_i contains only one class $[L_i']$ defined by

$$L_1' = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix},\ L_2' = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix},\ L_3' = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 17 \end{pmatrix},\ L_4' = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 9 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will show that the number of representations of an integer k by each lattice L_i in Lemma 2.1 can be written by using the number of representations of the integer k by the lattice $\langle 1,1,1\rangle$ or $\langle 1,1,2\rangle$. For any non negative integer k, we define

$$\mathfrak{r}_1(k) = r(k, \langle 1,1,1\rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{r}_2(k) = r(k, \langle 1,1,2\rangle).$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $L_i (1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice in Lemma 2.1 and let $k = 2^a(8t+\alpha)$ be an integer such that $a, t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\alpha \in \{1,3,5,7\}$. Then we have*

$$r(k, gen(L_i)) = \begin{cases} c_i(a,\alpha)\cdot \mathfrak{r}_1(k) & \text{if } i = 1,2,4, \\ c_i(a,\alpha)\cdot \mathfrak{r}_2(k) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the constant $c = c_i(a,\alpha)$ depends only on i, a and α. The values of $c_i(a,\alpha)$ are given in Table 1.

Proof. The lemma follows directly from the equation (1.2). For the computations of local densities, see [12]. □

Table 1 Values of $c_i(a,\alpha)$

$i=1$		$i=2$		$i=3$		$i=4$	
(a,α)	c	(a,α)	c	(a,α)	c	(a,α)	c
$(0,1)$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$(0,1)$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$(0,1)$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$(0,1)$	$\frac{1}{6}$
$(0,5)$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$(0,5)$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$(2,1)$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$(2,1)$	$\frac{1}{3}$
$(1,1)$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$(2,1)$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$(2,3)$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$(2,5)$	$\frac{1}{3}$
$(1,5)$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$(2,5)$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$(3,1)$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$(a,\alpha),\ (a \geqslant 4)$	1
$(2,1)$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$(3,\alpha)$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$(3,3)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	otherwise	0
$(2,5)$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$(a,\alpha),\ (a \geqslant 4)$	1	$(4,\alpha)$	$\frac{1}{6}$		
$(3,\alpha)$	$\frac{1}{3}$	otherwise	0	$(5,1)$	$\frac{1}{3}$		
$(a,\alpha),\ (a \geqslant 4)$	1			$(5,3)$	1		
otherwise	0			$(5,5)$	$\frac{1}{3}$		
				$(6,\alpha)$	$\frac{1}{3}$		
				$(a,\alpha),\ (a \geqslant 7)$	1		
				otherwise	0		

Theorem 2.4. *Let L_i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4)$ be a ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice in Lemma 2.1. For any integer $k = 2^a(8t+\alpha)$ such that $a, t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\alpha \in \{1,3,5,7\}$, we have*

$$r(k, L_1) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}\mathfrak{r}_1(k) + \delta_{\square}(k) \cdot (-1)^{\frac{\sqrt{k}-1}{2}} \cdot 2\sqrt{k} & \text{if } (a,\alpha) = (0,1), \\ c_1(a,\alpha) \cdot \mathfrak{r}_1(k) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$r(k, L_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}\mathfrak{r}_1(k) + \delta_{\square}(k) \cdot (-1)^{\frac{\sqrt{k}-1}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{k} & \text{if } (a,\alpha) = (0,1), \\ c_2(a,\alpha) \cdot \mathfrak{r}_1(k) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$r(k, L_3) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{r}_2(k) + \delta_{\square}(k) \cdot (-1)^{\frac{\sqrt{k}-1}{2}} \cdot (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}(k-1)} \cdot \sqrt{k} & \text{if } (a,\alpha) = (0,1), \\ c_3(a,\alpha) \cdot \mathfrak{r}_2(k) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$r(k, L_4) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}\mathfrak{r}_1(k) + \delta_{\square}(k) \cdot (-1)^{\frac{\sqrt{k}-1}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{k} & \text{if } (a,\alpha) = (0,1), \\ c_4(a,\alpha) \cdot \mathfrak{r}_1(k) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_{\square}(k) = 1$ if k is a square of an integer, $\delta_{\square}(k) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. Since proofs are quite similar to each other, we only provide the proof of the third case. The genus of L_3 consists of the following two lattices up to isometry:

$$L_3 = \langle 1, 8, 64 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad L_3' = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 17 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $o(L_3) = o(L_3') = 8$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\frac{r(k, L_3)}{2} + \frac{r(k, L_3')}{2} = c_3(a,\alpha) \cdot \mathfrak{r}_2(k), \tag{2.2}$$

for any non negative integer k.

On the other hand, one may easily compute the difference

$$r(k, \mathrm{spn}(L_3)) - r(k, \mathrm{spn}(L_3'))$$

by using Korollar 2 of [11]. Since $h_s(L_3) = h_s(L_3') = 1$ by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$r(k, L_3) - r(k, L_3') = \begin{cases} \delta_{\square}(k) \cdot (-1)^{\frac{\sqrt{k}-1}{2}} \cdot (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}(k-1)} \cdot 2\sqrt{k} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

From the equations (2.2), and (2.3) we have the third equality in the theorem. □

3. Representations of quadratic forms with some congruence conditions

In this section, we consider representations of a quadratic form with some congruence conditions. We show that there is a one to one correspondence between representations of a quadratic form with some congruence conditions and representations of a quadratic polynomial which is suitably chosen. We also show that in some particular cases, representations of a quadratic form with some congruence

conditions can be interpreted as representations of a subform without congruence condition. If the corresponding subform has class number one or the spinor genus of the subform contains only one class, then we may give a formula on the number of representations of a quadratic form with some congruence conditions. By using this method, We reprove Theorems (1.9), (1.10) and (1.11) in [3], and prove some extensions of them. From now on, $\mathbb{Z}^n$ denotes the set of $n \times 1$ column vectors.

Let L be a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of rank n with a matrix presentation M and let a be an integer. For an integral matrix $B = (b_{ij}) \in M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and vectors $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we define

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n : B\mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{w} \pmod{\mathbf{s}}\}.$$

Here, for any two vectors $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^t$ and $\mathbf{w}' = (w_1', w_2', \dots, w_n')^t$ in $\mathbb{Z}^n$, we say $\mathbf{w} \equiv \mathbf{w}' \pmod{\mathbf{s}}$ if $w_i \equiv w_i' \pmod{s_i}$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We always assume that $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}} \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, $\mathbf{y} + \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}} = \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}$. Since $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank n, there is a basis $\{\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}_{i=1}^n$ for $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}$ such that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}} = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{u}_n$. Define $\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}} = [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n] \in M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}) = \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}$. We also define the smallest free $\mathbb{Z}$-module containing the left coset $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}$ by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}}$, which is, in fact,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}} = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{y} + \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}.$$

We define $d^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}} = [\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}}, \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}]$. Note that $d^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}$ is the smallest positive integer such that $d^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}$. For a fixed basis $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}}$, we define $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n] \in M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Finally, we define quadratic forms

$$M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}} = (\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})^t M \mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{V}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}})^t M \widetilde{\mathcal{V}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}}.$$

Note that $\widetilde{M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}}$ is independent of the choice of basis $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ up to isometry.

In this section, we consider the set

$$R^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}(a, M) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}} : \mathbf{x}^t M \mathbf{x} = a\} \text{ and } r^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}(a, M) = |R^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}(a, M)|.$$

For an integer b, a vector $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and a quadratic form N, we define

$$R(a; \mathbf{z}, N) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \mathbf{x}^t N \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x}^t \mathbf{z} = a\}.$$

The following lemma says that there is a one to one correspondence between representations of a quadratic form with congruence conditions and representations of a quadratic polynomial which is suitably chosen.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $M, a, B, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ be given as above. Then the map $\Phi : \mathbf{x} \to (U^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ from $R^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}(a, M)$ to $R(a - \mathbf{y}^t M \mathbf{y}; (\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})^t M \mathbf{y}, M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})$ is a bijective map. Conversely, for a set $R(a; \mathbf{z}, N)$, there are $M', a', B', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{s}'$ and $\mathbf{y}'$ such that*

$$\Phi(R^{\mathbf{s}'}_{B',\mathbf{w}'}(a', M')) = R(a; \mathbf{z}, N).$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} \in R^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}(a, M)$. Since $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}$, we have $(\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Hence one may easily check that

$$(\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \in R(a - \mathbf{y}^t M \mathbf{y}; (\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})^t M \mathbf{y}, M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}).$$

Therefore the map Φ is well-defined. For any $\mathbf{z} \in R(a - \mathbf{y}^t M\mathbf{y}; (\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})^t M\mathbf{y}, M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})$, if we define $\Psi(\mathbf{z}) = U^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{y}$, then $\Phi \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ \Phi = \mathrm{Id}$.

To prove the converse, let $N = [\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_n]$. By Invariant Factor Theorem, there is a basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and integers s_i for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ such that $s_i \mid s_{i+1}$ and

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{t}_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{t}_n = \mathbb{Z}(s_1\mathbf{e}_1) + \mathbb{Z}(s_2\mathbf{e}_2) + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}(s_n\mathbf{e}_n).$$

If we define $B' = [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n]^{-1} \in M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{s}' = (s'_1, s'_2, \ldots, s'_n)$, then one may easily show that

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{t}_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{t}_n = \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}'}_{B',\mathbf{0}} \quad \text{and} \quad N = \mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{s}'}_{B',\mathbf{0}}.$$

If we define $\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{z}$, $\mathbf{w}' = B'\mathbf{z}$,

$$M' = \det(N)N^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad a' = (\det N)a + \mathbf{z}^t \det(N)N^{-1}\mathbf{z},$$

then one may easily check the above map Φ is a bijective map from $R^{\mathbf{s}'}_{B',\mathbf{w}'}(a', M')$ to $R(a; \mathbf{z}, N)$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Under the same notations given above, we have*

$$r(a, \widetilde{M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}}) = r^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}(a, M) + \sum_{k=1}^{d^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}-1} r^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,k\mathbf{w}}(a, M).$$

Proof. The lemma follows directly from the fact that the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}}$ is a disjoint union of left cosets $k\mathbf{y} + \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}} = \mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,k\mathbf{w}}$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, d^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}} - 1$. □

Corollary 3.3. *Under the same notations given above, we have the followings:*

(i) *We have* $r^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}}(a, M) = r(a, M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})$.
(ii) *If* $d^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}} = 2$, *then* $r^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}(a, M) = r(a, \widetilde{M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}}) - r(a, M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})$.
(iii) *The map* $\mathbf{x} \to -\mathbf{x}$ *from* $R^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}(a, M)$ *to* $R^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,-\mathbf{w}}(a, M)$ *is bijective. In particular, if* $d^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}} = 3$, *then* $r^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}(a, M) = \frac{1}{2}\left(r(a, \widetilde{M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{w}}}) - r(a, M^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,\mathbf{0}})\right)$.
(iv) *Assume that for* $s = \gcd(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$, $\gcd(\det B, s) = \gcd(a, s) = 1$. *Then for any* k *such that* $\gcd(s, k) > 1$, $r^{\mathbf{s}}_{B,k\mathbf{w}}(a, M) = 0$.

Proof. If $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$, then we can take $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$. Hence the first assertion comes directly from Theorem 3.1. The second assertion comes directly from Lemma 3.2. The third and fourth assertions are trivial. □

Now, by using the above corollary and spinor representation theory of quadratic forms, we reprove Theorems (1.9), (1.10) and (1,11) in [3]. To do these, we define ternary quadratic forms:

$$M_1 = \langle 1, 1, 1\rangle, \quad M_2 = \langle 1, 1, 2\rangle, \quad M_3 = \langle 1, 4, 12\rangle.$$

Let

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_1 &= (1, 2, 2)^t, \quad \mathbf{w}_2 = (1, 4, 0)^t, \quad \mathbf{w}_3 = (3, 0, 2)^t, \\ \mathbf{s}_1 &= (4, 8, 8)^t, \quad \mathbf{s}_2 = (4, 16, 2)^t, \quad \mathbf{s}_3 = (12, 6, 6)^t. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let n be a positive integer. Then we have*

(i) $r^{\mathbf{s}_1}_{B_1,\mathbf{w}_1}(8n+1, M_1) = 0$ *if and only if* $8n+1 = M^2$ *and all prime divisors of* M *are congruent to* 1 *modulo* 4.

(ii) $r^{\mathbf{s}_2}_{B_2,\mathbf{w}_2}(8n+1, M_2) = 0$ *if and only if* $8n+1 = E^2$ *and all prime divisors of* E *are congruent to* 1 *or* 3 *modulo* 8.

(iii) $r^{\mathbf{s}_3}_{B_3,\mathbf{w}_3}(24n+1, M_3) = 0$ *if and only if* $24n+1 = W^2$ *and all prime divisors of* W *are congruent to* 1 *modulo* 3.

Proof. First, we define quadratic forms

$$K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 16 & 8 \\ 2 & 8 & 36 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 17 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 & 8 \\ 0 & 8 & 112 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One may easily show that the spinor genus of K_i contains only one class for each $i = 1, 2, 3$. Note that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}_1}_{B_1,\mathbf{0}} = \mathbb{Z}(4,0,0)^t + \mathbb{Z}(0,8,0)^t + \mathbb{Z}(0,0,8)^t$ and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}_1}_{B_1,\mathbf{w}_1}} = \mathbb{Z}(1,2,2)^t + \mathbb{Z}(4,0,0)^t + \mathbb{Z}(0,8,0)^t.$$

Hence $d^{\mathbf{s}_1}_{B_1,\mathbf{w}_1} = 4$ in this case, and one may easily show that

$$M^{\mathbf{s}_1}_{B_1,\mathbf{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} 16 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 64 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 64 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \widetilde{M^{\mathbf{s}_1}_{B_1,\mathbf{w}_1}} \simeq K_1.$$

Since $r(8n+1, M^{\mathbf{s}_1}_{B_1,\mathbf{0}}) = 0$, we have $2r^{\mathbf{s}_1}_{B_1,\mathbf{w}_1}(8n+1, M_1) = r(8n+1, K_1)$ by (iii) and (iv) of Corollary 3.3. Since the spinor genus of K_1 contains only one class, it represents all integers of the form $8n+1$ except spinor exceptional integers of it. Hence one may easily show that $r(8n+1, K_1) = 0$ if and only if $8n+1 = M^2$ and all prime divisors of M are congruent to 1 modulo 4.

We consider the second case which corresponds to Theorem (1.10) of [3]. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&r^{\mathbf{s}_2}_{B_2,\mathbf{w}_2}(8n+1, M_2) \\
&= |\{(x,y,z)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : x^2+y^2+2z^2 = 8n+1, (x,y,z) \equiv (1,4,0) (\mathrm{mod}\ (4,16,2))\}| \\
&= |\{(x,y,z)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : (4x+1)^2 + (16y+4)^2 + 2(2z)^2 = 8n+1\}| \\
&= |\{(x,y,z)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : (4x+1)^2 + 16(4y+1)^2 + 8z^2 = 8n+1\}| \\
&= \tfrac{1}{4}|\{(x,y,z)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : (2x+1)^2 + 16(2y+1)^2 + 8z^2 = 8n+1\}| \\
&= \tfrac{1}{4}|\{(x,y,z)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : x^2+16y^2+8z^2 = 8n+1, (x,y,z) \equiv (1,1,0) (\mathrm{mod}\ (2,2,1))\}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence if we define $\mathbf{w}'_2 = (1,1,0)$, $\mathbf{s}'_2 = (2,2,1)$ and $M'_2 = \langle 1, 16, 8\rangle$, then

$$r^{\mathbf{s}_2}_{B_2,\mathbf{w}_2}(8n+1, M_2) = \frac{1}{4} r^{\mathbf{s}'_2}_{B_2,\mathbf{w}'_2}(8n+1, M'_2).$$

Note that

$$M^{\mathbf{s}'_2}_{B_2,\mathbf{0}} = \langle 4, 64, 8\rangle \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{M^{\mathbf{s}'_2}_{B_2,w'_2}} \simeq K_2.$$

Therefore by Corollary 3.3, we have

$$r^{\mathbf{s}_2}_{B_2,\mathbf{w}_2}(8n+1, M_2) = \frac{1}{4} r(8n+1, K_2).$$

The assertion follows directly from the fact that the spinor genus of K_2 contains only one class.

Now, we consider the third case. One may easily show that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}_3}_{B_3,\mathbf{0}} = \mathbb{Z}(12,0,0)^t + \mathbb{Z}(0,3,-3)^t + \mathbb{Z}(0,3,3)^t$ and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}_3}_{B_3,\mathbf{w}_3}} = \mathbb{Z}(3,0,0)^t + \mathbb{Z}(0,3,-3)^t + \mathbb{Z}(0,1,1)^t.$$

Hence $d^{\mathbf{s}_3}_{B_3,\mathbf{w}_3} = 12$ in this case, and one may also show that

$$M^{\mathbf{s}_3}_{B_3,\mathbf{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} 144 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 144 & -72 \\ 0 & -72 & 144 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \widetilde{M^{\mathbf{s}_3}_{B_3,\mathbf{w}_3}} \simeq K_3.$$

Note that $r^{\mathbf{s}_3}_{B_3,k\mathbf{w}_3}(24n+1, M_3) = 0$ for any k such that $(k,12) \neq 1$ by (iv) of Corollary 3.3. Since the map $(x_1,x_2,x_3) \to (x_1,-x_2,-x_3)$ from $R^{\mathbf{s}_3}_{B_3,\mathbf{w}_3}(24n+1, M_3)$ to $R^{\mathbf{s}_3}_{B_3,5\mathbf{w}_3}(24n+1, M_3)$ is bijective, Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$4r^{\mathbf{s}_3}_{B_3,\mathbf{w}_3}(24n+1, M_3) = r(24n+1, K_3).$$

Since the spinor genus of K_3 contains only one class, it represents all integers of the form $24n+1$ except spinor exceptional integers of it. Hence one may easily show that $r(24n+1, K_3) = 0$ if and only if $24n+1 = W^2$ and all prime divisors of W are congruent to 1 modulo 3. □

Remark 3.5. Since the spinor genus of each lattice K_i contains only one class, we may give a formula on $r^{\mathbf{s}_i}_{B_i,\mathbf{w}_i}(8n+1, M_i)$ by using the number of representations of K_i (and also M_i) for any $i = 1,2,3$. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r^{\mathbf{s}_2}_{B_2,\mathbf{w}_2}(8n+1, M_2) &= \tfrac{1}{4} r(8n+1, K_2) = \tfrac{1}{4} r(8n+1, L_3') \\ &= \tfrac{1}{16}\mathfrak{r}_2(8n+1) - \tfrac{1}{4}\delta_{\square}(8n+1)\cdot(-1)^{\frac{\sqrt{8n+1}-1}{2}} \cdot (-1)^n \cdot \sqrt{8n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we use spinor genera having only one class, we may have some more results similar to the above. For some examples of ternary quadratic forms whose spinor genera consists of only one class, see [2] or Section 7 of [6].

Define quadratic forms

$$M_4 = \langle 1,1,1\rangle, \quad M_5 = \langle 1,1,1\rangle, \quad M_6 = \langle 1,1,2\rangle.$$

We also define

$$B_4 = B_5 = B_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_4 = (1,0,2)^t, \quad \mathbf{w}_5 = (1,2,2)^t, \quad \mathbf{w}_6 = (1,1,2)^t, \\ \mathbf{s}_4 = (2,2,4)^t, \quad \mathbf{s}_5 = (2,4,4)^t, \quad \mathbf{s}_6 = (2,2,4)^t. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.6. *Let n be a positive integer. Then we have*

(i) $r^{\mathbf{s}_4}_{B_4,\mathbf{w}_4}(8n+1, M_4) = 0$ *if and only if* $8n+1 = M^2$ *and all prime divisors of M are congruent to 1 modulo 4 and* $r^{\mathbf{s}_4}_{B_4,\mathbf{w}_4}(8n+5, M_4) > 0$.

(ii) $r^{\mathbf{s}_5}_{B_5,\mathbf{w}_5}(8n+1, M_5) = 0$ *if and only if* $8n+1 = M^2$ *and all prime divisors of M are congruent to 1 modulo 4.*

(iii) $r^{\mathbf{s}_6}_{B_6,\mathbf{w}_6}(16n+2, M_6) = 0$ *if and only if* $16n+2 = 2M^2$ *and all prime divisors of* M *are congruent to* 1 *modulo* 4 *and* $r^{\mathbf{s}_6}_{B_6,\mathbf{w}_6}(16n+10, M_6) > 0$.

Proof. Recall that we defined in Section 2, that

$$L'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L'_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 9 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix},$$

where each spinor genus contains only one class. Note that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}_4}_{B_4,\mathbf{0}} = \mathbb{Z}(2,0,0)^t + \mathbb{Z}(0,2,0)^t + \mathbb{Z}(0,0,4)^t$ and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{s}_4}_{B_4,\mathbf{w}_4}} = \mathbb{Z}(1,0,2)^t + \mathbb{Z}(2,0,0)^t + \mathbb{Z}(0,2,0)^t.$$

Hence $d^{\mathbf{s}_4}_{B_4,\mathbf{w}_4} = 2$ in this case, and one may easily show that

$$M^{\mathbf{s}_4}_{B_4,\mathbf{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 16 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \widetilde{M^{\mathbf{s}_4}_{B_4,\mathbf{w}_4}} \simeq L'_2.$$

Now everything follows from Corollary 3.3 and the fact that the spinor genus of K_4 contains only one class. The proofs of the second and the third cases are quite similar to this. One may use the fact that

$$d^{\mathbf{s}_5}_{B_5\mathbf{w}_5} = 2,\ d^{\mathbf{s}_6}_{B_6,\mathbf{w}_6} = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{M^{\mathbf{s}_5}_{B_5,\mathbf{w}_5}} \simeq L'_4,\ \widetilde{M^{\mathbf{s}_6}_{B_6,\mathbf{w}_6}} \simeq 2L'_1.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.7. *Let* n *be a positive integer. Then we have*

(i)
$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{r}^{\mathbf{s}_4}_{\mathrm{B}_4,\mathbf{w}_4}(8\mathrm{n}+1, \mathrm{M}_4) &= r(8n+1, L'_2) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{6}\mathfrak{r}_1(8n+1) - \delta_{\square}(8n+1)\cdot(-1)^{\frac{\sqrt{8n+1}-1}{2}}\cdot\sqrt{8n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$r^{\mathbf{s}_4}_{B_4,\mathbf{w}_4}(8n+5, M_4) = r(8n+5, L'_2) = \tfrac{1}{6}\mathfrak{r}_1(8n+5).$$

(ii)
$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{r}^{\mathbf{s}_5}_{\mathrm{B}_5,\mathbf{w}_5}(8\mathrm{n}+1, \mathrm{M}_5) &= r(8n+1, L'_4) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{6}\mathfrak{r}_1(8n+1) - \delta_{\square}(8n+1)\cdot(-1)^{\frac{\sqrt{8n+1}-1}{2}}\cdot\sqrt{8n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii)
$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{r}^{\mathbf{s}_6}_{\mathrm{B}_6,\mathbf{w}_6}(16\mathrm{n}+2, \mathrm{M}_6) &= r(8n+1, L'_1) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{3}\mathfrak{r}_1(8n+1) - \delta_{\square}(8n+1)\cdot(-1)^{\frac{\sqrt{8n+1}-1}{2}}\cdot 2\sqrt{8n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$r^{\mathbf{s}_6}_{B_6,\mathbf{w}_6}(16n+10, M_6) = r(8n+5, L'_1) = \tfrac{1}{3}\mathfrak{r}_1(8n+5).$$

Proof. The corollary is a direct consequence of Remark 2.2, Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 3.6. □

References

[1] E. T. Bell, *The numbers of representations of integers in certain forms* $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2$, Amer. Math. Monthly, **31**(1924), 126–131.

[2] J. W. Benham, A. G. Earnest, J. S. Hsia and D. C. Hung, *Spinor regular positive ternary quadratic forms*, J. London Math. Soc.(2) **42**(1990), 1–10.

[3] A. Berkovich, *On the Gauss EYPHKA theorem and some allied inequalities*, J. Number Theory **148**(2015), 1–18.

[4] S. Cooper and H.Y. Lam *On the diophantine equation* $n^2 = x^2 + by^2 + cz^2$, J. Number Theory, **133**(2013), 719–737.

[5] W. Hürlimann, *Cooper and Lam's conjecture for generalized Bell ternary quadratic forms*, J. Number Theory **158**(2016), 23-32.

[6] W. C. Jagy, Integral positive ternary quadratic forms, arXiv:1010.3677v1 [math.NT].

[7] Y. Kitaoka, *Arithmetic of quadratic forms*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[8] M. Kneser, *Darstellungsmaße indefiniter quadratischer Formen*, (German) Math. Z. **77**(1961), 188–194.

[9] O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to quadratic forms*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1963.

[10] R. Schulze-Pillot, *Darstellung durch Spinorgeschlechter ternärer quadratischer Formen*, J. Number Theory **12**(1980), 529–540.

[11] R. Schulze-Pillot, *Thetareihen positiv definiter quadratischer Formen*, Invent. Math. **75**(1984), 283-229.

[12] T. Yang, *An explicit formula for local densities of quadratic forms*, J. Number Theory **72**(1998), 309-356.

[13] G. L. Watson, *Transformations of a quadratic form which do not increase the class-number*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **12**(1962), 577–587.

[14] A. Weil, *Sur la théorie des formes quadratiques*, Centre Belge Rech. Math., Colloque Theor. Groupes algebr., Bruxelles 1962, 9–22.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

E-mail address: jjw@snu.ac.kr

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

E-mail address: kiny30@snu.ac.kr

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

E-mail address: bkoh@snu.ac.kr